

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/490,189	01/24/2000	Jeffrey A. Masucci	2736.1002000	6852		
21005 75	590 12/23/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			ABELSON, I	ABELSON, RONALD B		
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2666	_		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¥ - 1		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0,189	MASUCCI, JEFFREY A.				
			ner	Art Unit				
			l Abelson	2666				
D	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover shee	1	P=			
Period fo	• •							
THE I - External form - If the I - If NC - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum ser to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months day patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an ly will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of d will expire SIX (6) I application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ation.			
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 January 2000</u> .							
,		2b)⊠ This action is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 3,5,8 and 10 is/are objects Claim(s) are subject to restri		a raquiramant					
,—	on Papers	ction and/or election	n requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the	a Evaminor						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2</u>		ccented or h)	Tobjected to by the Everniner				
. 4/23	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			• •	1(d)			
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected t				· •			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.								
	7 CFR 1.78. □ The translation of the foreign la	naugae provinional	annlication has	hoon rossived				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim the foreign and the first ser ference was included in the first ser	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spec	ific 78.			
		,		.,,				
Attachment 1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) TIntonio	w Summany (PTO 442) Pages Ne/s)				
2) 🛛 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•			

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ovadia (US 6,546,557) in view of Fawaz (US 6,654,374), further in view of Nash (US 4,577,312).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Ovadia teaches a method of communicating between a burst manager (fig. 1a box 10) and plural remote terminals (fig. 1a box 12a-c).

The system comprises transmitting burst data from the plural remote terminals (fig. 1a box 12a-c) to the burst manager (fig. 1a box 10).

Although Ovadia teaches Sonet, the reference is silent on a first passive optical network (PON) and a second PON, each PON having a downstream portion and an upstream portion and transmitting burst data from the plural remote terminals over both upstream PON portions.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,189 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

Fawaz teaches, in Sonet, a first passive optical network

(PON) and a second PON, each PON having a downstream portion and an upstream portion and transmitting burst data from the plural remote terminals over both upstream PON portions (dual ring, simultaneously send clockwise and counter-clockwise, col. 13 lines 8-12).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both Ovadia and Fawaz before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by Ovadia, a method of communicating in Sonet between a burst manager and plural remote terminals, and [b] as shown by Fawaz, a first passive optical network and a second PON, each PON having a downstream portion and an upstream portion and transmitting burst data from the plural remote terminals over both upstream PON portions (dual ring, simultaneously send clockwise and counter-clockwise, to be motivated to modify the system of Ovadia by transmitting the burst data from the primary hubs to the headend simultaneously using both rings. This would improve the system by providing for the reception of data at the master headend in case data transmitted on a single ring is not received.

Although the combination teaches transmitting duplicate data over two PON's, the combination is silent on how the master headend synchronizes the transmission so the data traveling in

Art Unit: 2666

each direction will arrive at simultaneously at the master headend.

Nash teaches transmitting a common synchronization signal (test pattern, col. 4 lines 12-15) from the burst manager (fig. 1 box 123) to the plural remote terminals over both downstream portions; the burst data for each remote being delayed wherein the ranging delays for each remote terminal are adjusted with respect to the common synchronization signal such that the burst data for any particular remote terminal transmitted on the first path arrives at the burst manager simultaneously with the burst data for that particular remote terminal transmitted on the second path (col. 3 line 61 - col. 4 line 23).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both the combination of Ovadia and Fawaz and Nash before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by the combination of Ovadia and Fawaz, a method of communicating in Sonet between a burst manager and plural remote terminals, and [b] as shown by Nash, transmitting a common synchronization signal from the burst manager to the plural remote terminals over both downstream portions; the burst data for each remote being delayed wherein the ranging delays for each remote terminal are adjusted with respect to the common synchronization signal such that the burst data for any

Art Unit: 2666

particular remote terminal transmitted on the first path arrives at the burst manager simultaneously with the burst data for that particular remote terminal transmitted on the second path, to be motivated to modify the system of the combination of Ovadia and Fawaz by transmitting a common synchronization signal from the master headend to each hub in both directions. This would improve the system by allowing for the master headend to synchronize the reception of data from each primary hub along both rings.

Regarding claims 4 and 9 wherein the first and second PONS are configured as counter-rotating quasi-rings (Fawaz: col. 13 lines 8-12). Note, the subject of counter-rotating quasi-rings has been discussed above.

3. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ovadia, Fawaz and Nash as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of de Boer (US 6,616,350).

Although Ovadia teaches Sonet, the reference is silent on TDM.

De Boer teaches Sonet incorporates TDM.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both the combination of Ovadia, Fawaz

Page 5

Art Unit: 2666

Page 6

and Nash and de Boer before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by the combination of Ovadia, Fawaz and Nash, a method of communicating in Sonet between a burst manager and plural remote terminals, and [b] as shown by de Boer, Sonet incorporates TDM, to be motivated to modify the system of the combination of Ovadia, Fawaz and Nash by transmitting the common synchronization signal in a TDM format. Subscribing to the Sonet format can perform this modification. This would improve the system since the TDM format is standardized for Sonet and using a standardized format will allow the system to be incorporated into larger systems.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 5, 8, and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 3 and 8, although the combination teaches

Sonet TDM and a common synchronization signal nothing in the

prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests determining

which timeslots contain valid data and selecting valid data on a

per timeslot basis, in combination with all the other

limitations listed in the claim.

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 5 and 10, nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests uni-directional quasi-rings, in combination with all the other limitations listed in the claim.

Prior art is of record

5. The prior art is of record but not relied upon in the office action. Couch (US 20030219254) teaches Sonet is a passive optical network.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Art Unit: 2666

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Ronald Abelson Examiner Art Unit 2666

Seema S. RAO 1215103
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 8